

# DAAD

Deutscher Akademischer Austausch Dienst  
German Academic Exchange Service



## How to prepare a competitive Tempus project proposal? Some tips and advice.

Marco Brückner

Tempus / Erasmus Mundus / EU-Third Country Cooperation

German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD)

27 April 2012



# Content

1. **Some preliminary considerations / reflections**
2. **Project proposal - eForm**
3. **Award criteria**

# Start a project proposal for Tempus...?

- **Make sure that your project objectives adhere to the criteria of the programme...**

Your idea may be good, but may not be the right one for the Tempus programme!

# How to start ...?

- Make yourself familiar with the Tempus programme
  - Attend a Tempus Info seminar ✓
  - Information is available at the Website of EACEA:  
[http://eacea.ec.europa.eu/tempus/index\\_en.php](http://eacea.ec.europa.eu/tempus/index_en.php)
- Build a **strong partnership**
  - find partners & involve partners in project development
  - check eligibility of partner institutions
  - create support at your university
  - be aware of the eligibility of the partnership (minimum number of HEIs)
  - define tasks, milestones and deadlines

## When to start...?

- ✓ Best practice: EARLY!
- ✓ 2-4 months before the publication of the Call
- ✓ Set a realistic time-frame for project preparation (including reception of Mandates from partners)
- ✓ Decide on the communication strategy
- ✓ Choose the language with which all project partners are the most comfortable
- ✓ Communicate with your partners on a regularly basis (Skype, E-mails, Phone)
- ✓ Be reliable – build trust – do not underestimate intercultural communication
- ✓ Define rules for communication

# How to proceed after the Call has been published ...?

- Read the Call for Proposals & Guidelines carefully
  - to be published **mid-October – November 2012**
- First check the **new priorities** (national & regional) then decide which of the themes identified in the Call would be best to develop a project
- Read the Instructions for completing the application form and compulsory attachments\* + the eForm User Guide carefully
- Find additional interested and relevant partners
- Consult your NTO / NCP early
- Be aware of other projects in the PC concerned (**no double funding**)

\* ***Declaration of Honour, Workplan and Budget tables, LFM***

## Good news ...

... all information will be published on the Internet

[http://eacea.ec.europa.eu/tempus/index\\_en.php](http://eacea.ec.europa.eu/tempus/index_en.php)

... help is available from

- ✓ **National Tempus Office Co-ordinators in Partner Countries (NTO)**
- ✓ **Tempus National Contact Points in EU Member States (NCP)**
- ✓ **EACEA website – check for regular updates**  
[http://eacea.ec.europa.eu/tempus/index\\_en.php](http://eacea.ec.europa.eu/tempus/index_en.php)

# Good practice: Quality of language & Clarity of information

- ✓ Choose the language with which all project partners are the most comfortable
- ✓ Explain the concepts and ideas (avoid abbreviations, acronyms)
- ✓ Be precise & specific: provide facts and figures; indicate WHY, by WHOM and HOW something will be done
- ✓ Avoid “patchwork” (copy-paste information)



# Preparing the Application in the light of the award criteria

# Evaluation of applications

- Each application will be assessed by two experts
- 1. step: each expert gives a written feedback and a score
- 2. step: both experts agree a common position on the score
- If the two experts cannot agree, a third expert assesses the application

## Question:

How do experts assess a Tempus proposal?

## Antwort:

In accordance with the selection and award criteria published in the Call.

# Evaluation - Scoring

The proposed project is to be made visible as a whole:  
From **Relevance** → to **Budget and Cost Effectiveness**

**Mind the coherence!**

0: No evidence:

1-2: Very weak:

3-4: Weak:

5-6-7: Acceptable:

8-9: Good:

10: Very good:

fails to include a minimum amount of evidence to enable the criterion to be evaluated

addresses the criterion but with significant or many weaknesses


addresses the criterion but with some weaknesses

addresses the criterion satisfactorily

addresses the criterion with some aspects of high quality

addresses the criterion with all aspects of high quality

The points for each award criterion are obtained by multiplying the score (from 0 to 10) with the **weighting** indicator as follows:



Award criterion	Score	Weighting indicator	Total points
Relevance	0-10	2,5	25
Quality of the partnership	0-10	2	20
Quality of the project's content and Methodology	0-10	2,5	25
Dissemination and Sustainability	0-10	1,5	15
Budget and Cost Effectiveness	0-10	1,5	15

# (1) Relevance

- ✓ The national/regional priorities are addressed.
- ✓ Key problems and needs of the specific Partner Country/ies and of the potential stakeholders are identified.
- ✓ The objectives are realistic and relevant for the reform strategies of higher education in the Partner Countries
- ✓ The real needs & problems of the clearly defined target groups are addressed; relevant stakeholders are included
- ✓ The project describes how the project will contribute to solving the identified problems.
- ✓ It explains how the project proposal was prepared.

***WHERE in the E-form? E.1 The project rationale; C.2 Specific Objectives ; C.3 Summary of the project***

## (2) Quality of Partnership

- ✓ The partnership includes all the skills, recognised expertise and competences required to address the needs of the PC Higher Education Institutions or systems and to achieve the project objectives
- ✓ Each partner PC / EU should demonstrate how their experience and their role (stressing the skills and competencies) is relevant in the planned project activities
- ✓ The partnership ensures a regional dimension and diversity (new partners) and a balanced distribution of tasks (no “sleeping partners”)
- ✓ Measures to ensure effective communication among the partners are described

***WHERE in the E-form? B; D.1 Skills and expertise of key staff***

### (3) Quality of Project Content & Methodology

Description of the project as a whole, including:

- ✓ The **academic content and the pedagogical approach**; appropriate methodology, involvement of stakeholders in general, the link between wider and specific objectives and between expected outcomes and activities,
- ✓ The **quality control processes** foreseen and the **monitoring measures** that will be implemented.
- ✓ The **management** of the project, roles and responsibilities allocated to each partner, decision-making process and implementation structure.
  - Make sure that the information in this section is consistent with the LFM
  - Ensure a balanced distribution of tasks (+ role of Lead partner in work-packages)

***WHERE in the E-form? E3. The project & F. Workpackages; + LFM***

## (4) Dissemination & Sustainability

- ✓ How information/outcomes of the project will be made available to groups not directly involved
- ✓ How the expected results will be sustainable in the long term (financial, institutional and policy level)

The project should consider to:

- develop a “visual” identity of the project
- clearly identify target groups
- plan internal (within PC institutions) & external (in the PC) dissemination
- use a wide range of dissemination tools
- advertise the first results / products
- consult and involve the relevant stakeholders (decision makers at national and institutional level, students organisations, professional organisations, private sector)
- demonstrate arrangements for recognition / accreditation of new courses
- evaluate and describe what will happen after the end of the project
- consult Tempus handbook “Sustainability through Dissemination”

*WHERE in the E-form? E4. Dissemination & Sustainability; F. Workpackages*

## (5) Budget and Cost effectiveness

- ✓ Implementation of project activities and achievement of expected results and objectives in **the most economical way** and respecting the **Tempus financial rules**

Concretely, the project should:

- Respect the salary and mobility rates (according to type of task in the project and not the status of the individual) and the budget ceilings for staff costs and equipment
- Plan a reasonable/efficient amount of staff costs for each activity (including reasonable management costs)
- Use efficiently the mobility periods – making best use of time abroad for maximum benefit
- Limit the equipment purchase to what is necessary for the implementation of the project
- Check carefully the eligibility of expenses and account the expenses under the right budget heading
- Explain the principles for budget allocation amongst partners
- Eliminate calculation errors and check the consistency of the different parts of the budget

Attention! : Inflated budgets are marked severely!

**WHERE in the E-form? E5. Budget & Cost-Effectiveness; Budget Excel Tables**



## Avoid the most common mistakes...

Remember that only information presented in the application can be assessed!

Do not assume prior technical or „historical project related“ knowledge on the part of the assessor!

## Good practice

- ✓ **Before submitting, ask somebody who does not know the project to read/proofread the draft proposal.**



# Tempus IV 2007-2013

## Further Information

### **EACEA**

<http://eacea.ec.europa.eu/tempus>

### **National Tempus Office Russia**

<http://www.tempus-russia.ru/>

### **National Tempus Contact Point Germany**

<http://eu.daad.de/eu/tempus/05236.html>

**Next Call for proposals: to be published in Autumn 2012**

Good luck!



# EU Fördermöglichkeiten

**Thank you for your attention!**

# Project proposal: eForm\* and required attachments\*

\* On the basis of the 5th Call for proposals

# Project proposal - eForm

European Commission  
**TEMPUS**  
Form version : 1.2.1 EN Adobe Reader version : 70.702

EACEA  
European Agency for External Cooperation in the Field of Higher Education

Tempus IV

## Application Form

### TEMPUS IV – 5th Call for proposals

#### Joint Projects / Structural Measures

**Before you begin completing this eform:**

- Try the test eForm. This is a very brief eForm to help you become familiar with how the fields and tables work and to test that your software and internet connection allow an application to be submitted.
- Check that you have the latest available version of the eForms. In the event of a significant eForm problem arising, the Agency may decide to publish an updated i.e. corrected version of the eForm. Please check to see if a later version is available and to see details of any problems that have arisen and their impact.

Click on the following link to go to the webpage to try the test eForm and to check for the latest version of the eForm:

[http://ec.europa.eu/eforms/index\\_en.php](http://ec.europa.eu/eforms/index_en.php)

Programme: TEMPUS

Sub-programme #:

Programme guide / Call for proposals: EACEA N° 25/2011

Action #:

Sub-action #:

Deadline for submission: 23/02/2012 12:00 midday (Brussels time)

Project title #:

Project acronym #:

Language used to complete the form #:

**YOU MUST COMPLETE ALL FIELDS ON THIS FIRST PAGE BEFORE COMPLETING ANY OTHER PARTS OF THE FORM. SELECTIONS YOU MAKE ON THIS FIRST PAGE, DICTATE THE APPEARANCE AND BEHAVIOUR OF THE REST OF THE FORM.**

Validate form

Page 1 of 4

## ➤ Front page

- Project title
- Project acronym
- Language (DE, EN, FR)

# eForm – Structure (main body)

<b>Part</b>	<b>Content</b>
<b>PART A</b>	<b>Identification of the Applicant and other organisations participating in the project</b>
<b>PART B</b>	<b>Organisation and Activities</b>
<b>PART C</b>	<b>Description of the project (basic data)</b>
<b>PART D</b>	<b>Operational capacity</b>
<b>PART E</b>	<b>Project implementation / Award criteria (the project in details)</b>
<b>PART F</b>	<b>Workplan in Workpackages</b>



# eForm – Structure (attachments)

No.	Content
1	<b>Declaration of Honour</b> by the legal representative of the Applicant organisation (pdf / jpg)
2	<b>Logical Framework Matrix</b> (Word document)
3	<b>Work-plan and Budget</b> (Excel Tables)

These attachments are **compulsory**.

Templates will be available on the Agency website.

# Part A – Identification of the Applicant and partner organisations

## Partner 1 (APPLICANT)

A1 Organisation (role, name, address, telephone, email etc + **Nr of Erasmus University Charter for institutions in EU Member States**)

A2 Person responsible for the management of the application (name, address, telephone, email etc.)

**A3** Person authorised to represent the organisation (legal representative) – only for applicant organisation

## Partner 2, 3... (partners)

A1

A2



***Part A & B must be completed for each organisation participating in the project***

# Part B – Short description of organisations & their activities

## B1 Structure:

Status: Private / Public

Type of organisation

## B2 Aims & activities of the organisation

key activities related to the project theme (**free text, 1000 characters**)

role of the organisation in the project (**free text, 1000 characters**)

## B3 Other EU grants

support received from Tempus in the last three years (table)

other grant applications applied for the same project (table)

**List of partner organisations**  
**is generated *automatically* after filling in Part A and B**

## Part C – Description of the project (summaries)

C1 Timing of the project

C2 Specific objectives (free text, limited 1000 characters)

C3 Tempus objectives, themes and priorities (tick boxes and limited free text)

C4 Partner countries involved (tick boxes)

C5 Summary of the project (free text, limited 2000 characters)

C6 Summary of the work-packages (automatic)

C7 List of deliverables – outputs/outcomes (automatic)

C8 Summary budget

C9 Project history

## Part D – Operational Capacity

### D1 Skills and expertise of key staff involved in the project

- organisation number
- organisation name
- key person name: summary of relevant skills and experience (free text, 750 / person)
  - key staff involved (more than 1 person per partner)

### D2 Specific tasks that will be sub-contracted to bodies outside the formal consortium

# Part E – Project implementation / Award criteria

## E1 The project rationale – Relevance

(needs analysis, priorities addressed, objectives, target groups)

- limited space: 6000 characters

## E2 Quality of the partnership

(suitability to work together, specific and complementary skills and

expertise) - limited space: 6000 characters

## E3 Project's content and methodology

(academic content, pedagogical approach, methodology, LFM)

- limited space: 12000 characters

## E4 Dissemination & sustainability - limited space: 4000 characters

## E5 Budget and cost effectiveness - limited: 2000 characters

# Part F – Workplan and Workpackages (1)

## F1 Identification: WP nr - type:

Development (substance of work)  
Quality plan (quality control and monitoring)  
Dissemination  
Exploitation of results (sustainability)  
Management

**At least one  
of each type  
of WP must  
be completed**

Start – End – Duration

Related assumptions and risks (limit 400 characters)

Description (limit 2000 characters)

## F2 Deliverables – outputs / outcomes

Title

Type or nature

Delivery date

Dissemination level

Target groups

Language(s) of the product

# Part F – Workplan and Workpackages (2)

## F3 Consortium partners involved:

Lead partner

Other partners

Role and tasks in the WPs (limit to 400 characters)

## F4 Resources required to complete WPs

Staff categories – number of days – for each partner organisation

Tasks to be sub-contracted

Travel: Staff, Students: number of flows / destination

Equipment

Printing and publishing

Other costs



# eForm – required attachments

## 1. The workplan and budget :

### - The workplan table (Excel Sheet 1)

Same as presented in the eForm as work-packages (development, dissemination, management....)

### - The budget tables (Excel Sheets 2-10)

- ✓ Do not underestimate the workload needed to fill in the 10 Budget tables
- ✓ Ensure full consistency between the inputs mention in the eForm and their costs indicated in Excel tables
- ✓ Make sure that your costing is realistic
- ✓ Cross-check the coherence between the different budget tables

## 2. The Logical Framework Matrix (LFM):

- ✓ Read literature on LFM
- ✓ Ensure that entries are consistent with the previous sections & adequately completed
- ✓ Re-check the logic of the project as set out in LFM
- ✓ Indicators of progress (quantitative / qualitative) should be specific, measurable, realistic and relevant to the project objectives and outcomes
- ✓ Identify & address main assumptions & risks
- ✓ Ensure that LFM is consistent with the project description

### 3. The Declaration of Honour:

- ✓ Signed by the person authorised to enter into legally binding agreements on behalf of the applicant organisation
- ✓ Certifies that applicant institution is not in any of the situation referred to in Articles 93 and 94 of the Financial Regulations (see Section 6 “Exclusion Criteria” – Fifth call application guidelines)
- ✓ The Declaration of Honour is accepted in scanned version.